

# A Rational Synthesis of Pt/C Catalysts

J. R. Regalbuto


Dept. of Chemical Engineering  
U. Illinois at Chicago

U.S. Patent Office

August 13, 2007

\*Examples of catalyst synthesis presented here are examples and are not intended to limit the invention.



# Heart of a Fuel Cell







PEMFC Unit Cell Part List

1	MB Nut
2,14	Belloville Washer
3,13	Insulator Pin
4,12	Gold Plated Cu Plate
5,11	Graphite Flow Channel
6,10	Gas Diffusion Layer
7,9	Teflon Gasket
8	MEA
15	MB Bolt

Pt/carbon black catalyst




# Heart of a Packed Bed Reactor




# Motivation

- Prevalent methods for producing fuel cell electrodes are often cumbersome (e.g. E-TEK, Watanabe et al., J. Electroanal. Chem. 229 (1987) 395)



- We've applied our fundamental insights on electrostatic adsorption to carbon materials, so as to greatly simplify the process



Zhou, Zhenhua et al. (Qin Xin), Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2003, 5(24), 5485-5488.




- 1) Suspend in CPA
- 2) Add formaldehyde
- 3) Gradually add NaOH to pH 14
- 4) Age
- 5) Heat
- 6) Sediment with strong electrolyte
- 7) Filter and wash
- 8) Dry in vacuum

# Method of "Strong Electrostatic Adsorption (SEA)"

Pt uptake – pH survey (Schreier & Regalbuto, J. Cat. 2004)



Electrostatic mechanism




Reduction to preserve dispersion

# Motivation

- Prevalent methods for producing fuel cell electrodes are often cumbersome (e.g. E-TEK, Watanabe et al., J. Electroanal. Chem. 229 (1987) 395), use Pt sulfite acid
- Hypothesis: SEA of common Pt precursors will lead to high dispersion of reduced (finished) catalyst:




# RPA Model Components



# Carbon PZC results (Melanie Timmons and Teresa Feltes, NSF REU)

The Equilibrium pH of Activated Carbon at Various Surface Loadings




Surface Loading

2000 m<sup>2</sup>/l




200,000 m<sup>2</sup>/l



- the solid surface has a dramatic effect on solution pH  
(Park and Regalbuto, JCIS 1995)

# Carbon PZC results

The Equilibrium pH for Carbon Graphite at Various Surface Loadings



# Revised Physical Adsorption (RPA) Model

## System Parameters:

initial concentration  $C$  [mol/l]

surface loading  $SL$  [m<sup>2</sup>/l]

temperature  $T$  [K]

final pH

## Precursor Parameters:

radius of hydrated ion  $r_i$  [m]

valence  $z$  [-]

number of hydration sheaths

## Support Parameters:

point of zero charge PZC [-]


surface ionization constants  $\Delta pK$  [-]

dielectric constant of the oxide  $\epsilon$  [-]

hydroxyl site density  $N_s$  [1/nm<sup>2</sup>]

## Fundamental Constants:

$e$ ,  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $k$ ,  $F$ ,  $N_0$ ,  $r_w$ ,  $R$ ,  $\epsilon_w$



$\psi_0$

$L$

0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14

pH


$$\theta = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{\max}} = \frac{K_{P_i} C_{P_i}}{1 + K_{P_i} C_{P_i}} \quad -RT \ln K_i = \Delta G_{\text{coul},i}$$

$$\Delta G_{\text{coul},i} = z_i F \psi_{i,x}$$

$$\psi_{i,x} = \left( \frac{2RT}{zF} \right) \ln \left( \frac{(Y+1) + (Y-1)\exp(-\kappa\alpha_i)}{(Y+1) - (Y-1)\exp(-\kappa\alpha_i)} \right)$$

$$Y = \exp \left( \frac{zF\psi_0}{2RT} \right)$$

# RPA Simulation of CPA and PTA Adsorption Over Supports with Different PZC




# Methods

ICP for measurement of [Pt], [Al] /ppm

Surface coverage =  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2 =$



$$(C_{\text{Pt, initial}} - C_{\text{Pt, final}}) / \text{SL}$$



SL = Surface Loading:


$$\frac{0.0903 \text{ g } \gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3 (277 \text{ m}^2/\text{g})}{0.050 \text{ L}} =$$

$$\frac{1.786 \text{ g } \alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3 (14 \text{ m}^2/\text{g})}{0.050 \text{ L}} = 500 \text{ m}^2/\text{L}$$




Carbon Name	Abbreviation	SA (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Pretreatment	Total Pore Volume (ml/g)	PZC
Activated carbon					
Darco s-51	S51	650	Acid washed, steam activation of lignite coal	1.0	4.7
Norit SX 2	SX2	800	Acid washed steam activated	1.36	8.4
Norit SX 4	SX4	650	Acid washed steam activated		7.9
Norit SX ULTRA	SXU	1200	Acid washed steam activated	2.16	7.8
Norit CA-1	CA	1400	Chemically activated by phosphoric acid	0.9	2.6
Durco KB-B	KB	1500	chemical activation of hardwood	1.8	4.8
Graphite					
Ashbury Grade 4827	ASBURY	115	Heated, ground natural graphite	2.55	5.2
Timcal TIMREX HSAG 300	TIMREX	280	Heated, ground petroleum coke	1.64	4.5
Carbon Black					
Degussa A1-04088 N754	N754	25	pyrolysis	0.7	5.6
Ensaco 250 Powder	E250	62	pyrolysis	1.4	9.0
Ensaco 350 Powder	E350	770	pyrolysis	8.0	9.5
Vulcan XC 72	VXC	254	pyrolysis	3.46	8.9

# PZC Determinations of Carbons



# RPA Simulation of CPA and PTA Adsorption Over Supports with Different PZC





CPA  $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$

PTA  $(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{PtCl}_2$

# CPA, PTA uptake vs. pH, varying PZC

$[PtCl_6]^{-2}$  (activated carbon)  $[(NH_3)_4Pt]^{+2}$





Xao and Regalbuto, J. Mol. Catal. 219, 2004, 97.

# CPA, PTA uptake vs. pH, varying carbon type

## CPA/high PZC

## PTA/mid PZC




- narrower volcano curves w.r.t alumina, silica
- pore exclusion of PTA from small pore carbons at high pH

# STEM of PTA/Timrex after 200°C Reduction




- average size  $\approx$  8-10 nm



- average size  $\approx$  1-2 nm

# Fuel Cell Electro catalyst Synthesis

Max. loading via SEA





Carbon Black	Surface area (m²/g)
Ketjen Black EC 300J	795
Ketjen Black EC 600J	1415
Black Pearls 2000	1475
Enasco 350	795

└ CPA limit: 1.6  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$

└ PTA limit: 0.84  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$

(Santhanam et al., Catal Today 1994)

# CPA uptake vs. pH, carbon blacks




- optimal pH = 2.9
- max loading achieved = 30 wt% Pt

# Comparison of Platinum Particle size on High Surface Area Carbon Black (BP2000, 1500 m<sup>2</sup>/gm)

SEA, 500 m<sup>2</sup>/l, pH 2.9, 200°C reduc.

Pt utilization in high SA carbon blacks






○ Good dispersion and small particle size (1-2 nm)

sample	SA m <sup>2</sup> /g	wt %	Pt area (m <sup>2</sup> Pt/gm Pt)	
				SEA at pH 2.9
Ketjen 300	800	19	93 (91)*	
Ketjen 600	1415	28	62	
BP 2000	1475	30	116	
Ensaco 350	795	19	112	

\* measured independently by H. Gasteiger, GM, with cyclic voltammetry


# Pd(II) uptake on carbon



$[\text{PdCl}_4]^{-2}$  dissolved in 5.6 times excess Cl; basified with  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$

$[(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Pd}]^{+2}$  starting solution, basified with NaOH

SEA @ pH 11



Timrex  
1.9 wt%


$22 \pm 6 \text{ \AA}$

DI



$75 \pm 55 \text{ \AA}$

Asbury  
0.6 wt%



$15 \pm 5 \text{ \AA}$


$136 \pm 85 \text{ \AA}$




SEA @ pH 2

BP2000  
1500 m<sup>2</sup>/gm  
10.4 wt%

23 ± 9 Å




28 ± 8 Å




Vulcan  
254 m<sup>2</sup>/gm  
1.9 wt%

14 ± 5 Å




29 ± 17 Å




Ensaco 250  
62 m<sup>2</sup>/gm  
0.5 wt%

15 ± 7 Å



21 ± 8 Å



DI

# JR vs. Ebner

## Objective:

- Ebner: deposit NM on oxygen free carbon to reduce leaching, sintering
- JR: deposit highly dispersed NM on either O-free or O-containing carbon

## Mechanism:

- Ebner: reactive deposition by hydrolysis of NM complex at high pH
- JR: electrostatic deposition at optimal pH at either pH range

# JR vs. Fischer

## ■ Objective:

- Fischer: deposit NM on metal substrates (including carbon steel)
- JR: deposit highly dispersed NM on any type of carbon

## ■ Mechanism:

- Fischer: electroless deposition at high pH by reduction of NM complex, always have one reducing agent in solution
- JR: electrostatic deposition at optimal pH at either pH range, no reducing agent in solution

# Conclusions

- SEA is a good, simple method for the synthesis of Pt/C materials with common Pt complexes
  - CPA: use PZC  $\sim$  9 carbon, pH  $\sim$  2.9
  - PdTC: use PZC  $\sim$  9 carbon, pH  $\sim$  2-3
  - PTA, PdTA: use PZC  $\sim$  4-5 carbon, pH  $\sim$  10.5
  - PTA/high pH; steric exclusions for high SA carbons
- SEA method is based on a simple electrostatic mechanism of monolayer adsorption of charged metal complexes
- SEA method does not involve hydrolyzing or reducing agents